### WSPH 2024

### TRANSPLNTACE, CTEPD, ROLE PACIENTŮ

### PAVEL JANSA

pavel.jansa@vfn.cz







#### European Reference Network

for rare or low prevalence complex diseases

- Network Respiratory Diseases (ERN-LUNG)
- Member General University Hospital in Prague — Czechia



#### WHO Committees and World Symposia on Pulmonary Hypertension



bert M et al. The Seventh World Symposium on Pulmonary Hypertension: our journey to Barcelona. *Respir J 2024*; in press: 2401222 [DOI: 10.1183/ 13993003.01222-2024

#### Adult Lung Transplants Diagnosis Distribution by Location (Transplants: January 2005 – June 2018)



#### Adult Lung Transplants Kaplan-Meier Survival by Major Diagnosis (Transplants: January 1992 – June 2017)



### TRANSPLANTACE U PLICNÍ HYPERTENZE (2022)

ommendations	<b>Class</b> <sup>a</sup>	Level <sup>b</sup>	<b>Referral</b> Potentially eligible patients for whom LTx might be an option in treatment failure
recommended that potentially eligible lidates are referred for LTx evaluation when have an inadequate response to oral bination therapy, indicated by an intermediate– or high risk or by a REVEAL risk score >7	I	с	ESC/ERS intermediate-high or high risk or REVEAL risk score appropriate PAH medication Progressive disease or recent hospitalization for worsening PAH Need for i.v. or s.c. prostacyclin therapy Known or suspected high-risk variants, such as PVOD or PCH, si sclerosis, or large and progressive pulmonary artery aneurysms Signs of secondary liver or kidney dysfunction due to PAH or or
recommended to list patients for LTx who ent with a high risk of death or with a REVEAL score ≥10 despite receiving optimized lical therapy including s.c. or i.v. prostacyclin ogues	I	С	potentially life-threatening complications, such as recurrent haem <b>Listing</b> Patient has been fully evaluated and prepared for transplantation ESC/ERS high risk or REVEAL risk score >10 on appropriate PA medication, usually including i.v. or s.c. prostacyclin analogues Progressive hypoxaemia, especially in patients with PVOD or PO Progressive, but not end-stage liver of kidney dysfunction due to P life-threatening haemoptysis

# Transplantation, bridging, and support technologies in pulmonary hypertension

Laurent Savale <sup>[],2,3</sup>, Alberto Benazzo <sup>[]</sup>, Paul Corris<sup>5</sup>, Shaf Keshavjee <sup>[]</sup>, Deborah Jo Levine<sup>7</sup>, Olaf Mercier<sup>1,2,8</sup>, R. Duane Davis<sup>9</sup> and John T. Granton<sup>10</sup>

- cations to list for transplantation
- igh-risk category despite optimal medical therapy
- ersistent intermediate-high risk despite optimal medical therapy with significant RV dysfunction
- ecurrent hospitalisation for RV failure despite optimal medical therapy
- fe-threatening haemoptysis
- ver or kidney dysfunction<sup>#</sup>
- some instances, persistent severe impairment in quality of life can be considered as an indication for transplantation
- iagnosis of PVOD or PCH that has failed a trial of conventional PAH therapy
- iagnosis of underlying ILD, COPD or combined pulmonary fibrosis/emphysema combined with severe RV
- dysfunction despite optimal management
- nticipated programme waitlist times

# Transplantation, bridging, and support technologies in pulmonary hypertension

Laurent Savale <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Alberto Benazzo <sup>4</sup>, Paul Corris<sup>5</sup>, Shaf Keshavjee <sup>6</sup>, Deborah Jo Levine<sup>7</sup>, Olaf Mercier<sup>1,2,8</sup>, R. Duane Davis<sup>9</sup> and John T. Granton<sup>10</sup>

Rizika hemodynamické nestability a primární dysfunkce štěpu							
Recipient risk factors	Complication						
RV function	RV dysfunction and haemodynamic instability (paradoxically, better RV function has been associated with a higher risk of primary graft dysfunction)						
Listing mPAP, RAP, PVR	Primary graft dysfunction						
Scleroderma	Haemodynamic instability						
Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction	Haemodynamic instability, primary graft dysfunction?, worse long-term survival						
RV hypertrophy	RV outflow tract obstruction						
BMI	Primary graft dysfunction						
Creatinine	Primary graft dysfunction						
Cardiopulmonary bypass	Primary graft dysfunction						
Transfusion during surgery	Primary graft dysfunction						
Smoking history	Primary graft dysfunction						

## 2 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and atment of pulmonary hypertension



#### Treatment algorithm for pulmonary arterial hyperten



*Eur Respir J* 2024; in press: 2401325 *Eur Respir J* 2022; DOI: 10.1183/13993003.0

# Transplantation, bridging, and support technologies in pulmonary hypertension

Laurent Savale <sup>[],2,3</sup>, Alberto Benazzo <sup>[]</sup>, Paul Corris<sup>5</sup>, Shaf Keshavjee <sup>[]</sup>, Deborah Jo Levine<sup>7</sup>, Olaf Mercier<sup>1,2,8</sup>, R. Duane Davis<sup>9</sup> and John T. Granton<sup>10</sup>



#### Predictors of survival in patients with pulmonary hypertension and acute right heart failure

Ambroz D<sup>1</sup>, Jansa P<sup>1</sup>, Kuchar J<sup>2</sup>, Belohlavek J<sup>1</sup>, Aschermann M<sup>1</sup>, Dytrych V<sup>1</sup>, Lindner J<sup>3</sup>, Simkova I<sup>4</sup>, Linhart A<sup>1</sup>

ospective analysis of RHF 2004-2013 (PAH, CTEPH, 70 patients, 117 hospitalizations, mean age 53.1 yea **gering factors: infection** (17.9%), **SVT** (8.5%), **anemia** (5.9%), **other** (1.8%), **unknown** (66%)



	Total	ICU	Cardio wai
N of cases	117	21	96
Hyponatremia (N of cases)	63	15	48
Creatinine (mean±SD)	133±53	154±74	128±
Systolic blood pressure (mean±SD)	108±17	102±16	109±
Anemia <hb (n="" 100="" cases)<="" g="" l="" of="" td=""><td>7</td><td>3</td><td>4</td></hb>	7	3	4
Haemoglobin (g/L; mean±SD)	133±24	127±28	134±
Ascites (N of cases)	41	7	34
Paracentesis (N of cases)	27	6	21
SBP (N of cases)	11	4	7

SBP - spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Bratisl Med J 2020; 121 (3)230

#### Predictors of survival in patients with pulmonary hypertension and acute right heart failure

Ambroz D<sup>1</sup>, Jansa P<sup>1</sup>, Kuchar J<sup>2</sup>, Belohlavek J<sup>1</sup>, Aschermann M<sup>1</sup>, Dytrych V<sup>1</sup>, Lindner J<sup>3</sup>, Simkova I<sup>4</sup>, Linhart A<sup>1</sup>

ospective analysis of RHF 2004-2013 (PAH, CTEPH, 70 patients, 117 hospitalizations, mean age 53.1 yea gering factors: infection (17.9%), SVT (8.5%), anemia (5.9%), other (1.8%), unknown (66%)

		Hospitalizations		
_	All (n=117)	Cardiology ward (n=96)	ICU (n=21)	
ity (n)	15 (13%)	4 (4%)	11 (52%)	
liuretics	45 (38%)	43 (45%)	2 (10%)	
ous diuretics	72 (62%)	53 (55%)	19 (91%)	
mine	37 (32%)	19 (20%)	17 (81%)	
nephrine	6 (5%)	-	6 (29%)	
nendan	5 (4%)	-	5 (24%)	
	5 (4%)	-	5 (24%)	
	10 (9%)	-	10 (48%)	
	1 (1%)	-	1 (5%)	
0	2 (2%)	-	2 (10%)	

 Continuous veno-venous hemofiltration, NIV – non- invasive ventilation, invasive mechanic ventilation, ECMO – Extracorporeal Membrane Oxyrapy



	All	Survivors	Non-survi
	(n=21)	(n=10)	(n=11)
dobutamine	18	7 (39 %)	11 (61 %
norepinephrine	6	0 (0 %)	6 (100 9
levosimendan	5	2 (40 %)	3 (60 %
NIV	10	4 (40%)	6 (60 %
IMV	1	0 (0 %)	1 (100 9
CVVH	5	2 (40 %)	3 (60 %
ECMO	2	1 (50 %)	1 (50 %

CVVH – Continuous veno-venous hemofiltration, NIV – non-invasive venti IMV – invasive mechanic ventilation, ECMO – Extracorporeal Membrane genotherapy

#### Therapy - ICU

#### Predictors of survival in patients with pulmonary hypertension and acute right heart failure

Ambroz D<sup>1</sup>, Jansa P<sup>1</sup>, Kuchar J<sup>2</sup>, Belohlavek J<sup>1</sup>, Aschermann M<sup>1</sup>, Dytrych V<sup>1</sup>, Lindner J<sup>3</sup>, Simkova I<sup>4</sup>, Linhart A<sup>1</sup>

ospective analysis of RHF 2004-2013 (PAH, CTEPH, 70 patients, 117 hospitalizations, mean age 53.1 yea gering factors: infection (17.9%), SVT (8.5%), anemia (5.9%), other (1.8%), unknown (66%)

Survival after the first admission for RHF



## CHRONICKÁ TROMBOEMBOLICKÁ PLICNÍ NEMOC

### Definition, classification and diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension

Gabor Kovacs<sup>1,2</sup>, Sonja Bartolome<sup>3</sup>, Christopher P. Denton <sup>0</sup><sup>4</sup>, Michael A. Gatzoulis<sup>5,6</sup>, Sue Gu<sup>7</sup>, Dinesh Khanna<sup>8</sup>, David Badesch<sup>7</sup> and David Montani <sup>9,10,11</sup>



1 Haemodynamic criteria of pulmonary hypertension (PH)	1 Haemodynamic criteria of pulmonary hypertension (PH)							
	Haemodynamic characteristics							
	mPAP >20 mmHg							
pillary PH	mPAP >20 mmHg							
	PAWP ≼15 mmHg PVR >2 WU							
ed post-capillary PH (ipcPH)	mPAP >20 mmHg							
	PAWP >15 mmHg							
	PVR ≼2 WU							
ned post- and pre-capillary PH (cpcPH)	mPAP >20 mmHg							
	PAWP >15 mmHg							
	PVR >2 WU							
se PH	mPAP/CO slope >3 mmHg/L/min							
	between rest and exercise							
mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PAWP: pulmonary arterial wec nce; WU: Wood Units; CO: cardiac output.	dge pressure; PVR: pulmonary vascular							



### **Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease**

Test	Pros	Cons	Examples
V/Q scan	<ul> <li>Screening test of choice</li> <li>Specific to pulmonary arterial blood flow</li> <li>Normal or abnormal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perception of being an outdated test or phasing out</li> <li>Ventilation may not be available (<i>e.g.</i> accessibility, pandemic effect)</li> </ul>	10.4
SPECT scan	<ul> <li>More sensitive than planar radionucleotide perfusion scan</li> <li>May become more readily available than V/Q</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not additive if planar scan already available</li> </ul>	POST Q
CT pulmonary angiogram (with or without dual energy)	<ul> <li>Most widely available</li> <li>Detailed information about pulmonary circulation but also lung parenchyma and mediastinum</li> <li>Dual energy capable of perfusion map</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>False negative results (<i>e.g.</i> chronic segmental/subsegmental disease)</li> <li>Requires radiologist with CTEPD awareness and experience</li> <li>Contrast necessary</li> </ul>	
Pulmonary angiogram	<ul> <li>Direct injection into pulmonary arteries can offer details of the lumen including sequential views as contrast passes</li> <li>Helpful for surgical or BPA planning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires right heart catheterisation with devices allowing for rapid injection without catheter migration</li> <li>Limited access to expertise</li> <li>Can underestimate disease</li> </ul>	-
MRI	<ul> <li>No radiation</li> <li>Can offer views of pulmonary circulation, perfusion map and surrounding soft tissues</li> <li>Valuable for pulmonary arterial tumour evaluation</li> <li>Additional cardiac morphology and functional assessment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires radiologist with CTEPD awareness and experience</li> <li>Limited access to expertise</li> </ul>	

#### The diagnostic performance of CT pulmonary angiography in the detection of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension—systematic review and meta-analysis

#### 10 studií, 734 pacientů CTA má vysokou senzitivitu a vysokou specificitu, pokud je prováděna **expertním radiologem**

Author	Year published	Design	Age (years) ± SD (range)	Male gender	Inclusion criteria	Sample size	Patients with CTEPH
Tunariu [8]	2007	R	42 (18-81)	37%	PH of any type	227	78
Bartalena [13]	2008	R	55 (22-87)	36%	PH of any type	107	37
Reichelt [14]	2009	R	59 (18-76)	48%	Suspected CTEPH	27	24
Nakazawa [15]	2011	Р	58 (29-80)	67%	Suspected or known CTEPH	51	51
He [16]	2012	Р	$43 \pm 15$	43%	Suspected CTEPH	114	51
Dournes [17]	2014	R	67 ± 13	35%	PH of any type	40	14
Masy [18]	2018	R	$59\pm16$	25%	PH of any type	80	36
Wang [11]	2020	Р	42 ± 15	34%	Suspected CTEPH	150	51
Fathala [19]	2021	R	$41 \pm 10$	37%	CTEPH (scintigraphy, PEA)	54	54
Schüssler [20]	2021	Р	$63 \pm 15$	31%	Suspected CTEPH	71	13



**Pooled** estimates



Even non-expert radiologists report chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) on CT pulmonary angiography with high sensitivity and almost perfect agreement

Jan Hrdlicka<sup>1</sup> • Martin Jurka<sup>1</sup> • Bianka Bircakova<sup>1</sup> • David Ambroz<sup>2</sup> • Pavel Jansa<sup>2</sup> • Andrea Burgetova<sup>1</sup> • Lukas Lambert<sup>1</sup>

#### patients with CTEPH, 49 patients without CTEPH ree radiologists with different levels of experience in CT imaging (**R1**:15 years, **R2**:6 years, and **R3**:3 years



	R1		R2		R3		Overall	
	(%)	95%CI	(%)	95%CI	(%)	95%CI	(%)	95%CI
Sensitivity	100	93–100	100	93–100	100	93–100	100	98–100
Specificity	100	93-100	96	86–100	96	86-100	97	93–99
PPV	100	-	96	87–99	96	87–99	97	94–99
NPV	100	-	100	-	100		100	-
Accuracy	100	97–100	98	93-100	98	93-100	99	97–100

Eur Radiol 2023, Aug 22. doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-2

### 22 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and eatment of pulmonary hypertension



#### Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary dise



Humbert M et al. Eur Respir J 2022; DOI: 10.1183/1399300 Kim NH et al. *Eur Respir J* 2024; in press: 2401294

# 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension

#### Multidiscipinární CTEPH tým

- Chirurg se zkušeností s PEA
- Intervenční kardiolog se zkušeností s BPA
- Specialista na plicní hypertenzi
- Radiolog se zkušeností z vysokoobjemového CTEPH centra

#### Optimální počty výkonů

- PEA > 50 výkonů/rok
- BPA > 100 výkonů/rok nebo > 30 pacientů se zahájenou léčbou

### **Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease**

**ABLE 3** Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) multidisciplinary team (MDT) and centre expertise

Requirements

IDT	PEA surgeon + BPA specialist + PH expert + pulmonary vascular radiologist
	•
EA centre	≥20 surgeries per year with post-operative mortality rate <5%, ECMO support
Expert PEA centre	50 surgeries per year with mortality <3%, capable of treating segmental/
	subsegmental disease, ECMO support
BPA centre	≥50 procedures per year with procedure related mortality <3%
xpert BPA centre	>100 procedures per year with mortality <1%, ECMO support
Comprehensive CTEPH	Combined PEA + BPA + PH + ECMO expertise available with treatments based or
centre	centre MDT

EA: pulmonary endartectomy; BPA: balloon pulmonary angioplasty; PH: pulmonary hypertension; ECM xtracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

### CTEPH V ČESKÉ REPUBLICE (2003-2023)



Jansa P et al. Epidemiology of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) in the Czech Republic. Pulmonary Circulation 2022;12

### **ROLE PACIENTŮ**

### Exploring the patient perspective in pulmonary hypertension

H. James Ford <sup>1</sup>, Colleen Brunetti<sup>2</sup>, Pisana Ferrari<sup>3</sup>, Gergely Meszaros<sup>4</sup>, Victor M. Moles <sup>5</sup>, Hall Skaara<sup>6</sup>, Adam Torbicki <sup>7</sup> and J. Simon R. Gibbs <sup>8</sup>



### Exploring the patient perspective in pulmonary hypertension

### H. James Ford <sup>1</sup>, Colleen Brunetti<sup>2</sup>, Pisana Ferrari<sup>3</sup>, Gergely Meszaros<sup>4</sup>, Victor M. Moles <sup>5</sup>, Hall Skaara<sup>6</sup>, Adam Torbicki <sup>7</sup> and J. Simon R. Gibbs <sup>8</sup>

PROM	Diseases assessed	Domains assessed	Correlates with	Scale/ scoring	Questions n	Approximate completion time (min)	Time period assessed	Languages available	App or online tool	MCID (points)	References
CAMPHOR	PAH CTEPH	Symptoms Activity HRQoL	6MWD, FC, Borg dyspnoea score, clinical worsening	Yes/no and 3-point Likert	65	10	Same day	23	No	HRQoL 3 Symptoms 4 Activities 3–4	[60-64]
EmPHasis-10	РАН СТЕРН	HRQoL	6MWD, FC, BNP, PVR, REVEAL risk score, survival	6-point semantic differential	10	2–3	At time of assessment	25	Yes	6–8	[60, 65–67]
Living with PH	PAH	Physical Emotional	6MWD, FC	6-point Likert	21	5-10	1 week	English only	No	Overall 7 Subsections 3	[68]
PAH-SYMPACT	PAH CTEPH	Cardiopulmonary symptoms Cardiovascular symptoms Physical impacts Cognitive/ emotional impacts	6MWD, FC, REVEAL 2.0 risk score, D <sub>LCO</sub> , survival	5-point Likert	23	5–7	24 h for symptoms 7 days for impacts	22 for paper version, 33 for electronic version	Yes (research : only)	Not available	[69–72]
PAHSIS	PAH	Symptoms	SF-36 scores	11-point Likert	17	<5	1 month	English only	No	Not available	[73]
EQ-5D	Generic	Mobility Self-care Pain Anxiety/depression Activity	Not available for PAH	Visual analogue	2 5	2–5	Same day	208	Yes	Not available	[74–75]
SF-36	Generic	Physical functioning Physical limitations Pain General health Energy/vitality Social functioning Emotional limitations Mental health	6MWD, FC, survival	Variable	36	8–10	4 weeks	193	Yes	Not available	[76–78]

### SHRNUTÍ

- \_UTx detailnější indikace, stratifikace rizika transplantace
- CTEPH proti ESC/ERS Guidelines 2022 bez zásadní změny
   definice typu center
   (centrum, expertní centrum, komplexní centrum)
- Pacienti zásadní význam pacientských organizací

#### European Reference Network

